Effect of metformin, glibenclamide and insulin on lipid profile in type 2 diabetic patients

Marwan M. Merkhan

Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Pharmacy, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

<u>Received 9/10/2012</u> Accepted 27/3/2013

Abstract

Objective: to investigate the differences between the effects of metformin, glibenclamide, a combination of metformin plus glibenclamide and insulin on glycemic control and lipid profile. Subjects and methods: this study was conducted in Mosul-Iraq. A total of 136 type 2 diabetic patients were enrolled in this study. Thirty two, apparently healthy volunteers, were also included in the study as a control group. Blood sample were taken from the patients and controls and the serum were analysed for measurement of fasting serum glucose (FSG), HbA1c and lipid parameters. Results: the FSG of the metformin group (8.78±3.55 mmol/l) was significantly lower than that of glibenclamide and insulin groups (11.45±2.79 mmol/l), (13.16±6.18 mmol/l) respectively and there were non significant differences between the total cholesterol (TC) (4.49±0.93 mmol/l), high density lipoprotein (HDL-c) (1.3±0.48 mmol/l), low density lipoprotein (LDL-c) (2.43±0.95 mmol/l) and atherogenic index (AI) (3.72±0.93) of metformin group in comparison to that of the control group (4.69±0.39 mmol/l), (1.34±0.38 mmol/l), (2.80±0.62 mmol/l) and (3.82±1.21) respectively. The levels of TC (4.49±0.93 mmol/l), LDL-c (2.43±0.95 mmol/l) and the value of AI (3.72 ± 0.93) for the metformin group were significantly lower than that of other studied groups, while the HDL-c of the metformin group $(1.3\pm0.48 \text{ mmol/l})$ was significantly higher than that of other studied groups. The level of triglyceride (TG) of metformin and metformin plus glibenclamide groups (1.68±0.81 mmol/l), (1.85±0.76 mmol/l) respectively was significantly lower than that of the glibenclamide group (2.85±1.01 mmol/l). Conclusion: this study concluded that antihyperglycemic therapy with metformin in type 2 diabetic patients may have uniquely beneficial metabolic effects in addition to their glucose lowering effect.

Key words: type 2 diabetes mellitus, lipid profile, metformin, glibenclamide, insulin.

الملخص:

الهدف: لبيان الاختلاف بين تاثير عقاري المتفورمين والجلايبينكلامايد بشكل منفرد او مزدوج والانسولين على السيطرة السكرية ودهن الدم.

الطرق المتبعة والاشخاص: اجريت ه ذه الدراسة في مدينة الموصل حيث دخل الدراسة 136مريضا مصابا بداء السكري من النوع الثاني و32 شخصا سليما كمجموعة ضبط_. تم اخذ عينة دم من المرضى ومن مجموعة الضبط ثم تم تحليل الدم لقياس سكر المصل ونسبة الدهون.

النتائج: اظهرت النتائج ان سكر المصل في مجموعة عقار المتفور مين (8.78±8.55 ملمول/لتر) منخفض معنويا بالمقارنة مع مجموعتي الجلايبينكلامايد والانسولين (11.45±2.79 ملمول/لتر)، (13.16±6.18 ملمول/لتر) على التوالي، ولا يوجد اي فرق معنوي بين مستوى الكوليستيرول الكلي (4.49±0.99 ملمول/لتر) والبروتين الدهني عالي الكثافة (1.1± 0.48مول/لتر) والبروتين الدهني منخفض الكثافة (2.43±0.0 ملمول/لتر) ودليل تكون الخثرة (2.75±0.0 لمجموعة عقار المتفور مين بالمقارنة مع مثيلاتها في مجموعة السيطرة (4.6±2.0 ملمول/لتر)، (2.8±2.0 ملمول/لتر)، (2.8±1.3 ملمول/لتر)، (2.8±2.5 ملمول/لتر)، (2.4±2.5 ملمول/لتر)، (2.4±2.5 ملمول/لتر)، (2.4±2.5 ملمول/لتر)، (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر)، (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر)، (2.4±2.5 ملمول/لتر)، (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر)، منخفض الكثافة المعلم معنويا ملمول/لتر)، ودليل تكون الخثرة (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر) ملمول/لتر)، ودليل تكون الخثرة (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر) ملمول/لتر)، منخفض معنويا معلى التوالي، مع بقية المجاميع قيد الدراسة ، بينما البروتين الدهني عالي الكثافة لمجموعة عقار المتفور مين منخفض معنويا بالمقارنة مع بقية المجاميع قيد الدراسة ، بينما البروتين الدهني عالي الكثافة لمجموعة عقار المتفور مين منخفض معنويا معامور نه معنويا بالمقارنة مع بقية المجاميع قيد الدراسة ، وان مستوى الشعوم الثلاثية المجموعة عقار المتفور مين مع الجليبينكلامايد مع مجموعة عقار المتفور مين مع الجليبينكلامايد (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر) ومجموعة المتفور مين مع الجليبينكلامايد المجموعة عقار المتفور مين مع الجليبينكلامايد مع مجموعة عقار المتفور مين مع الجليبينكلامايد (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر) منخفض معنويا بالمقارنة مع مجموعة عقار الجلايبينيكلامايد (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر) ومجموعة عقار المتفور مين مع الجليبينكلامايد (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر) ملمول/لتر) من منخوض معنويا بالمقارنة مع مجموعة عقار الجلايبينيكلامايد (2.5±2.5 ملمول/لتر).

الاستنتاج: استنتجت الدراسة ان علاج النوع الثاني من السكري بعقار المتفور مين لديه تاثيرات ايضية ايجابية بالاضافة الى تاثيره على مستوى سكر المصل

Introduction

Diabetes is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality throughout the world. Approximately 2.2-3% of the world's population suffers from type 2 diabetes mellitus (1). In type 2 diabetes mellitus, disturbances of lipid profiles and especially increased susceptibility to lipid peroxidation is observed (2). An increased oxidative stress has been observed in diabetic patients as free radical indicated by high (3). production Although the pathophysiological mechanism of atherosclerosis in diabetic patients has not yet been fully understood, it is thought that hyperlipidemia, increased oxidation of low-density lipoproteins impaired (LDL-c) and vascular function promote atherogenesis in patients (4). diabetic Glucose deficiency in adipose tissue induces metabolic compensation, leading to the hydrolysis of triglycerides and release of fatty acids, which are oxidized by the liver and transformed to ketonic derivatives (5).

In patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, besides controlling blood pressure and lipid levels, the major therapeutic goal is to optimize glycaemic control in order to reduce the development and/or severity of long-term diabetic complications (6). Antidiabetic drugs control blood sugar levels in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus (7). Although oral antidiabetic agents may initially control hyperglycemia, most patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus will ultimately require insulin therapy, as β cell function progressively declines (8, 9). Antidiabetic drugs may be subdivided into six groups: sulphonylureas, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, biguanides, meglitinides, thiazolidinediones. insulin and Sulphonylurea derivatives are class of antidiabetic drugs used in the management of type 2 diabetes Biguanides mellitus. and sulphonylureas are widely used for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus and have been used for the prevention of diabetes in non-diabetic patients (10).

Sulphonylureas act by increasing insulin release from the beta cells (11). Biguanides form a class of oral hypoglycemic drugs used for diabetes mellitus or prediabetes treatment. is the only avaliable Metformin member of the Biguanide class. Metformin decreases hepatic glucose production, decreases intestinal absorption of glucose and increases peripheral glucose uptake and use. Metformin may be used as monotherapy, in combination or

therapy with a sulphonylurea. Insulin and insulin analogs are responsible for glucose utilization. It is effective in both types of diabetes, since even in insulin resistance, some sensitivity remains and the condition can be treated with larger doses of insulin (7, 11).

Aim of the study:

The purpose of this study was to investigate the differences between the effects of metformin, glibenclamide, a combination of metformin plus glibenclamide and insulin on glycemic control and lipid profile.

Subjects, materials and methods:

This study was conducted in Mosul-Iraq during the period from 1st of November 2011 to the 1st of march 2012. A total of 136 patients were enrolled in this study, they were divided into four groups. The first group was 42 patients (18 male and 24 female) on metformin therapy; their mean age was 53.12±9.18 years. The second group included 33 patients (14 male and 19 female) on glibenclamide therapy; their mean age was 56.61±8.04 years. The third group involved 31 patients (11male and 20 female) on a combination therapy of metformin plus glibenclamide; their mean age was 50.77±6.89 years and the fourth group included in this study involved 30 type 2 diabetic patients (9 male and 21 female) on insulin therapy; their mean age was 52.13±7.93 Thirty years. two apparently healthy volunteers (16 male and 16 female), were also included in the study as a control group; their mean age was 54.28±6.64 years.

The study design was case-control study and the patients were excluded if they had a history of hypertension, angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, heart failure, renal or hepatic failure or those taking antihypertensive drugs or lipid lowering agents or hypoglycemic agents other than metformin, glibenclamide, a combination of metformin plus glibenclamide and insulin. Pregnant women and lactating mother were also excluded from the study.

Eight milliliters of venous blood, laboratory evaluation for of biochemical parameters, were obtained from the patients and controls after an overnight fasting (12-hours) bv antecubital vein puncture, 2.5ml of it were transferred into an anticoagulant EDTA-tube with gentle shaking to obtain whole blood sample that was used for HbA1c (glycosylated haemoglobin) measurement. The remaining blood was allowed to clot at room temperature and after centrifugation (centrifuge (Hitachi) Japan) the serum was collected in plane tube and analyzed.

Fasting serum glucose was estimated by glucose-oxidaseperoxidase colorimetric method (spectrophotometer (optima) Japan) (12), by using a kit supplied by Biocon company (Germany). HbA1c was measured in whole blood sample by exchange resin quantitative ion colorimetric determination using a kit supplied by stanbio (USA).

TC was measured by enzymatic method (13) using a kit supplied by Biolabo (France) whereas TG was measured by enzymatic method (14), using a kit provided by Labkit (Spain), HDL-c measured (15) by kit and provided Biolabo company by (France). LDL value was calculated by Friedewald formula (16), the formula is LDL-c = TC - HDL-c -TG/2.2. The value of atherogenic index is calculated by equation AI=TC/HDLc.

Statistical analysis:

Standard statistical methods was used to determine the mean and standard deviation. All values expressed as Mean \pm SD and P value of ≤ 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Tow sample t-test were used to compare the results of various parameters among the studied groups. Statistical analysis was done using Minitab for Windows statistical software, version 14.

Results:

A total of 136 type 2 diabetic patients were enrolled in this casecontrol study and 32 apparently healthy volunteers were kept as a control group. The distribution of age, body mass index (BMI), duration of diabetes mellitus and sex for all studied groups and the control group are shown in table (1). There were non significant differences between the studied groups and control group regarding age and BMI as shown in table (1).

The statistical comparison of FSG. HbA1c, TC, HDL-c, TG, LDL-c and AI between the studied groups and between the studied groups and control group were shown in table (2). The FSG of metformin group was significantly lower than that of glibenclamide and insulin groups but still it was significantly higher than that of control group and their was non significant difference between the FSG of metformin group and metformin plus glibenclamide group also their was non significant difference between the FSG of glibenclamide and insulin groups. There were non significant differences between the HbA1c of each one of the studied group and others but each one of them was significantly higher than that of the control group. The TC, LDL-c and AI of metformin group was significantly lower than that of other studied groups with non significant differences between the TC, LDL-c and AI of metformin group and that of the control group also their was

non significant difference between TC LDL-c of glibenclamide, and metformin plus glibenclamide and insulin groups when compared with each others, the AI of metformin plus glibenclamide group was significantly lower than that of the glibenclamide group but there was non significant difference between the AI of metformin plus glibenclamide and insulin groups also their was non significant difference between AI of glibenclamide and insulin groups.

The HDL-c of the metformin group was significantly higher than that of other studied groups and their was non significant difference between the HDL-c of metformin group and that of the control group also there were non significant differences between the HDL-c of glibenclamide, metformin plus glibenclamide and insulin groups when compared with each others. The TG of the metformin or metformin plus glibenclamide groups were significantly lower than that of glibenclamide group but still they were significantly higher than that of the control group and their was non significant differences between the TG of metformin. metformin plus glibenclamide and insulin groups when compared with each others.

Discussion:

A traditional stepwise approach to diabetes therapy involves the use of a single oral agent titrated to maximum dosage, each of which targets a single pathological defect of type 2 diabetes as its primary mechanism of action, requirement with the of poor glycaemic control as an indication for the addition of a second oral agent (17). Insulin is not usually a first line treatment for type 2 diabetes mellitus, but nearly 50% of patients of this group eventually need insulin to control their hyperglycemia. Insulin therapy can correct or improve many of the metabolic abnormalities present with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Insulin regulates plasma glucose levels by decreasing hepatic glucose production (18) and increasing glucose uptake (19) by peripheral tissues. The major therapeutic goal in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus is to optimize glycaemic control by controlling blood pressure and lipid levels, in order to reduce the development and/or the severity of long term diabetic complications (6).

In the present study the patient and the control groups were matched regarding age (P > 0.656) and BMI (P> 0.550). This matching has a beneficial effects in that it exclude any effects of differences in age and BMI on the outcome of the study (table 1). The present study found out that the FSG, HbA1c and lipid parameters in the control group appear to be within the accepted normal ranges (table 2). The FSG and HbA1c for all studied groups were significantly higher than that of the control group, these findings were in agreement with other studies which evaluated glycemic (20, 21) state of the diabetic patients by measuring FSG and HbA1c. The FSG the metformin group of was significantly lower than that of glibenclamide and insulin groups, but there were non significant differences between FSG of metformin group and those treated by a combination therapy of metformin plus glibenclamide, this finding was in agreement with other study (22).

With the differences in the plasma glucose, one would anticipate a difference in HbA1c between the studied groups but there were non significant differences between the HbA1c of all studied groups, this finding was in agreement with many other studies (23, 24) which compare pioglitazone, glibenclamide and metformin as a monotherapy and combination.

The literatures showed discrepant results about the influence of metformin on lipid parameters (25). Some studies, in agreement with ours, reported reduction of TC and TG with an increase of HDL-c (26, 27) while others reported reduction only in TC level (28, 29). Still other studies showed no changes in lipid parameters (30, 31). Another investigation showed an association of metformin with an improvement in the lipid parameters even in non-diabetic patients (32). New studies are needed to clarify this issue, and HDL-c are very since TG important parameters for the evaluation of metabolic syndrome.

The results of this study showed that there were significant differences between all lipid parameters of metformin group in comparison to that of glibenclamide or insulin group and these results were in agreement with many other studies (22, 33).

Conclusion:

The present study concluded that therapy with metformin achieved better metabolic effects beside their hypoglycemic effect, in comparison to glibenclamide or insulin, which may help prevent coronary events in type 2 diabetes mellitus.

References

- 1. Pasaoglu H, Sancak B, Bukan N. Lipid peroxidation and resistance to oxidation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Thoku J Exp Med 2004;203:211-8.
- 2. Gugliano D, Ceriello A, Paolisso G. Oxidative stress and diabetic vascular complications. Diabetes care 1996;19:257-67.
- 3. Seghrouchni I, Drai J, Bannier E, *et al.* Oxidative stress parameters in type I, type II and insulin treated type 2 diabetes mellitus; insulin

treatment efficiency. Clin Chim Acta 2002;321:89-96.

- 4. Lida KT, Kawakami Y, Suzuki M, *et al.* Effect of thiazolidinediones and metformin on LDL-c oxidation and aortic endothelium relaxation in diabetic GK rats. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2003;284:E1125-E1130.
- 5.

http://www.pharmacorama.com/en/S ection/Insulin.php

- 6. Drouin P, Standl E. Gliclazide modified release: results of a 2-year study in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, Obesity, Metabolism 2004;6:414-21.
- 7.
 - Antidiabeticdrugs.http:/www.health atoz.com/healthatoz/Atoz/common/ Standard/ transform. jsp? requestU...
- Jarvinen H. Role of insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of NIDMM. Diabetologia 1995;38:1378-88.
- 9. Dailey G, Rosenstock J, Moses RG, *et al.* Insulin glulisine provides improved glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004;27:2363-8.
- 10. Sartor G, Scheniken B, Carlstrom S, *et al.* Ten year follow up of subjects with impaired glucose tolerance: prevention of diabetes by tolbutamide and diet regulation. Diabetes 1980;29:41-9.
- 11. Stuart B, Shaffer TJ, Simoni-Wastila LJ, *et al.* Variation in antidiabetic medication intensity among medicare beneficiaries with diabetes mellitus. Am J Geriatr Pharmacother 2007;5:195-208.
- 12. Lotta JA, Turner K. Evaluation of trinder's glucose oxidase method for measuring glucose in serum and urine. Clin Chem 1975;21:1754-60.
- 13. Richmond W. Preparation and properties of a cholesterol oxidase from Nocardia SP and its

application to the enzymatic assay of total cholesterol in serum. Clin Chem 1973;19:1350-6.

- 14. Fossati P, Prencip L. Serum triglycerides determined colorimetrically with an enzyme that produces hydrogen peroxide. Clin Chem 1982;28(10):2077.
- 15. Friedewald WT, Levy R, Frederickson DS. Estimation of low density lipoprotein in plasma without use of the preoperative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972;18:499-502.
- 16. Lopez-Virella MF, Stone P, Wilts S, *et al.* Cholestrol determination in HDL separated by three different methods . Clin Chem 1977;23(5):882-4.
- 17. Tosi F, Muggeo M, Brun E, *et al.* Combination treatment with metformin and glibenclamide versus single-drug therapies in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized, double-blind, comparative study. Metabolism 2003;52(7):862-7.
- 18. Henry RR, Edelman SV. Advances in treatment of NIDDM in the elderly. Geriatrics 1992;47:24.
- Galloway JA. Treatment of NIDDM with insulin agonists or substitutes. Diabetes Care 1990;13:1209-39.
- 20. Ziegler D, Nowak H, Kempler P, *et al.* Treatment of symptomatic diabetic polyneruopathy with the antioxidant α -lipoic acid: a meta-analysis. Diabetic Medicine 2004;21:114-21.
- 21. Gazis A, White DJ, Page SR, *et al.*Effect of oral vitamin E (α-tocopheral) supplementation on vascular endothelial function in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetic Medicine 1998;16:304-11.
- 22. Valsaraj S, Augusti KT, Chemmanam V, *et al.* Effect of insulin, glimepiride and combination therapy of insulin and metformin on blood sugar and lipid

profile of NIDDM patients. Indian Journal of Clinical Biochemistry 2009;24(2):175-8.

- 23. Ceriello A, Johns D, Widel M, *et al.* Comparison of effect of pioglitazone with metformin or sulphonylurea (monotherapy and combination therapy) on postload glycemia and composite insulin sensitivity index during an oral glucose tolerance test in patients with type 2 diabetes. diabetes care 2005; 28(2):266-72.
- 24. Yamanouchi T, Sakai T, Igarashi K, *et al.* Comparison of metabolic effects of pioglitazone, metformin, and glimepiride over 1 year in Japanese patients with newly diagnosed Type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 2005;22:980-5.
- 25. Wulffele MG, Kooy A, de Zeeuw D, *et al.* The effect of metformin on blood pressure, plasma cholesterol and triglycerides in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. J Intern Med 2004;256:1-14.
- 26. Yki-Jarvinen H, Ryysy L, Nikkila K, *et al.* Comparison of bedtime insulin regimens in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:389-96.
- 27. Robinson AC, Burke J, Robinson S, *et al.* The effects of metformin on glycemic control and serum lipids in insulin-treated NIDDM patients

with suboptimal metabolic control. Diabetes Care 1998;21:701-5.

- 28. Ginsberg H, Plutzky J, Sobel BE. A review of metabolic and cardiovascular effects of oral antidiabetic agents: beyond glucose level lowering. J Cardiovasc Risk 1999;6:337-46.
- 29. Grant PJ. The effects of high- and medium-dose metformin therapy on cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type II diabetes. Diabetes Care 1996;19:64-6.
- 30. Groop L, Widen E, Franssila-Kallunki A, *et al.* Different effects of insulin and oral antidiabetic agents on glucose and energy metabolism in type 2 (non-insulindependent) diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia 1989;32:599-605.
- 31. Rains SG, Wilson GA, Richmond W, *et al.* The effect of glibenclamide and metformin on serum lipoproteins in type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 1988;5:653-8.
- 32. DeFronzo RA, Goodman AM. Efficacy of metformin in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1995;333:541-9.
- 33. Gupta RK, Rehan HS, Rohatagi A, *et al.* The effect of glipizide, metformin and rosiglitazone on nontraditional cardiovascular risk factors in newly diagnosed patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Int J Diab Dev Ctries 2010;30(3):123-8.

Parameter	Control group (No.=32)	Metformin group (No.=42)	Glibenclamide group (No.=33)	Metformin plus Glibenclamide group (No.=31)	Insulin group (No.=30)
Age (years)	54.28 ± 6.64	53.12±9.18 ^{NS}	56.61±8.04 ^{NS}	50.77±6.89 ^{NS}	52.13±7.93 NS
BMI (kg/m^2)	29.48±6.17	31.37±4.28 ^{NS}	30.88±4.68 ^{NS}	31.41±5.01 NS	31.86±5.09 ^{NS}
Duration of DM (years)		7.45±5.62	9.27±7.16	7.74±4.51	10.10±6.64
Sex (male/Female)	16/16	18/24	14/19	11/20	9/21

 Table (1): demographic characteristics of the control and studied groups.

Non significant differences as compared to same parameter in the control group (P > 0.5).

Table (2): the FSG, HbA1c, TC, HDL-c, TG, LDL-c and AI for the control and studied groups.

Parameter	Control group (No.=32)	Metformin group (No.=42)	Glibenclamide group (No.=33)	Metformin plus glibenclamide group (No.=31)	Insulin group (No.=30)
FSG (mmol/l)	4.87±0.63	8.78 ± 3.55^{a}	11.45 ± 2.79^{ab}	10.24 ± 4.36^{a}	13.16±6.18 ^{ab}
HbA1c (%)	5.50±0.26	8.68 ± 1.63^{a}	8.68 ± 2.03^{a}	8.37 ± 1.51^{a}	9.08±1.81 ^a
TC (mmol/l)	4.69±0.39	4.49±0.93	5.51 ± 0.82^{ab}	5.22±0.94 ^{ab}	5.22±1.35 ^{ab}
HDL-c (mmol/l)	1.34±0.38	1.3±0.48	$0.97{\pm}0.2^{ab}$	$1.04{\pm}0.18^{ab}$	1.07 ± 0.3^{ab}
TG (mmol/l)	1.21±0.39	1.68 ± 0.81^{a}	2.85 ± 1.01^{ab}	1.85 ± 0.76^{ac}	$2.20{\pm}1.44^{a}$
LDL-c (mmol/l)	2.80 ± 0.62	2.43 ± 0.95	3.23±1.01 ^{ab}	3.32±0.91 ^{ab}	3.15±1.01 ^{ab}
AI	3.82±1.21	3.72±0.93	5.86±1.38 ^{ab}	5.04 ± 0.67^{abc}	5.20±1.90 ^{ab}

•

^a as compared to same parameter in the control group ($P \le 0.05$). ^b as compared to the same parameter in the metformin group ($P \le 0.05$). ^c as compared to the same parameter in the glibenclamide group ($P \le 0.05$).